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Welcome and Foreword

It was a great honor to welcome such a diverse group of participants to Health Equity Initiative’s inaugural partnership summit! The energy, ideas, and seeds for collaboration that sprouted from the summit validated our vision on the importance of building a global community that engages across sectors and disciplines to advance health equity!

Like all the Summit’s participants, at HEI we all care about our communities, neighborhoods, and cities! We know that despite some progress health disparities continue to compromise the ability of our communities to thrive; they also will have long lasting consequences for future generations. These disparities are linked to many factors: poverty; race; ethnicity and culture; as well as inadequate access to social support, affordable and nutritious food, safe neighborhoods, quality health care services, and a built environment that supports physical activity. The list goes on, and should show us that sometimes we may ask of others more than we ask of ourselves. Achieving health equity must begin with an understanding that we are all part of the solution across our different professions and disciplines, and that communities must be involved in identifying priorities, and developing community-based definitions and indicators of progress.

This is a timely conversation as, even with strides forward, the movement for health equity has remained largely in the realm of a few professional settings. We need broader community and citizen engagement on this issue! We need a place where everyone across sectors and communities feels “THIS IS OUR ISSUE!” This is why we created Health Equity Initiative, so that we could provide the kind of space in which to ignite a social movement for health equity.

Our work has been focusing primarily on three areas: building community, capacity, and communication resources for health equity. We invite you to explore our website and highlights of accomplishments at www.healthequityinitiative.org.

We are unique in our dedication to bridging the silos across professions, communities and stakeholders on health equity issues and to building ONE community for health equity. As a non-profit membership and member-driven organization, our community embraces professionals from all sectors and committed citizens who wish to leave a healthier world to their children and grandchildren. As such, we are an untraditional membership organization. We are a social movement, in which we hope all can feel included.

This Summit was inspired by the same principles of inclusiveness, teamwork, entrepreneurship, and stakeholder engagement that drive all of our work at Health Equity Initiative. Over the course of a day and a half, we were able to start a much-needed dialogue on how we can work together within our communities and professions to create change. Our outstanding speakers and facilitators represented multiple disciplines and perspectives – public health, healthcare, urban planning, development, community - and more. We also learned a lot from our attendees. Our consensus workshops brought together senior and junior professionals from diverse sectors, academics, and community leaders to map trends that affect us all, and ultimately to pinpoint common priorities, strategies and action steps toward health equity.

This report summarizes and synthesizes the proceedings and outcomes of the work we did together. We sincerely hope that it will support and foster the continuing discussions and activities that will follow in many organizations and communities. Finally, this work would not have been possible without the dedication of our summit organizing committee, and the support of our outstanding Summit Allied Organizations (SAOs) and sponsors. I am grateful to all.

“Health equity” is a key issue of our times. It provides a lens through which to examine health, social and economic issues, and to identify a range of priorities within and beyond the boundaries of health disparities. Thank you all for joining us!

Renata Schiavo, PhD, MA, Founder and President, Board of Directors
Health Equity Initiative

Renata Schiavo
Executive Summary
Implementing Systems-Level Change for Health Equity: A Partnership Summit

This inaugural Summit, Implementing Systems-Level Change for Health Equity: A Partnership Summit, was convened on February 25-26, 2016 in New York City by Health Equity Initiative, a nonprofit membership and member-driven organization dedicated to building and sustaining a global community that engages across sectors and disciplines to advance health equity.

A first-of-its-kind event, the Summit offered professionals, community leaders, and students across sectors and disciplines a forum to explore the systemic issues that perpetuate health inequity and to exchange ideas for creating opportunities for better health among underserved and vulnerable populations. The Summit attracted more than 100 professionals and community leaders from the fields of public health, healthcare, architecture, urban design, transportation, parks and recreation, information technology, and community and international development, among others.

Summit participants engaged in the following:

- Hearing perspectives on health equity from a range of experts from diverse sectors and disciplines
- Developing an agenda and related priorities for systems-level change using a participatory/consultative process
- Learning of and discussing the effects of social impact interventions on systems-level change for health equity and/or specific social determinants of health
- Pledging new partnership-based endeavors moving forward

The Summit explored four main themes that are of great importance to health equity and to building a culture of health (see Keynote speech summary on page 6). These include the role of urban design in promoting health equity; the importance of community and patient engagement to strengthen ownership and sustainability of all health equity-related interventions and results; the link between health equity and socioeconomic development; and, finally, strategies to communicate about health equity and to engage different groups and stakeholders in the health equity movement.

This report summarizes the summit’s proceedings and outcomes, and points to several important directions for future interventions and capacity building efforts. A number of important topics emerged as relevant to all four main themes of the Summit, and more in general, to advancing health equity. These include:

- The need to foster understanding and defining of “health equity” in a way that is meaningful to each key stakeholder group; this may involve learning about the “language” of multiple sectors and establishing community- and sector-specific priorities and definitions
- The strong interdependence of entrenched drivers and outcomes of poor health, poverty, and inequality as fundamental to interventions to promote health equity as well as to advance other social justice issues
- The role of community and patient engagement not only in information dissemination, but also in the actual planning, implementation, and evaluation of health equity-driven interventions
- The importance of participation in the urban planning process by professionals outside of design fields and communities themselves
- Capacity building and training as a pre-requisite for action in areas such as advocacy and communication for policy and social change, cross-sectoral collaborations and partnerships, community capacity to participate in intervention planning, and strategies for non-designers and communities to contribute to urban planning
- The importance of health equity-related efforts in fields outside health-related professions

Key conclusions and/or recommendations from the Summit are summarized on page 22, as well as in the reports of each plenary and roundtable session and consensus workshop. Other special topics included discussions on engaging youth on health equity issues as well as the work of Health Equity Initiative’s Public Policy Member Committee on child mental health disparities as an example of the need for systems-level change.

Overall, the work of the Summit confirmed that there is far more to health than health care, and that we need to enlist a wider range of allies in advancing health equity.
About a decade ago, the United States as a nation started to understand that health means much more than not being sick. And, that it’s driven by far more than what happens in the doctor’s office. Put simply: there’s more to health than health care.

This concept, which is also reflected in the key issues explored by the Health Equity Initiative’s summit, is at the heart of the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation’s work. Health equity and socioeconomic factors are in fact intrinsically linked. This keynote presentation aimed to share RWJF’s goal of building a Culture of Health and what may be the role of Summit’s participants in this effort.

Specifically, as Dr. Proctor said “Our communities in particular—how much and where we work, where we live, how we raise and educate our children and other opportunities—are strongly linked to health.” For example, “a 25-year-old adult without a high school diploma can expect to live nine years fewer than a college graduate. Adults earning more than $100,000 can expect to live more than six years longer than someone earning less than $35,000. Since 2001, life expectancy has increased by more than two years for the wealthiest 5% of U.S. men and by nearly three years for women. During the same period, life expectancy has increased barely at all for the poorest 5%. Even beyond income and education, health is shaped by a host of other factors including behaviors, access to health care and policies that affect health.”

If we look at virtually every community across the U.S, people’s health is inextricably tied to their ZIP code. In New York City, “babies born just a few subway stops apart have expected lifespans that differ by nine years. These gaps just a few blocks or miles apart aren’t only in big urban areas—in rural North Carolina there’s a seven-year gap in life expectancy between just a few highway exits. In Richmond, Virginia, there is an eye-opening difference of 20 years of life fewer than six miles apart.”

Neighborhood conditions affect health in many ways. For example, poorer neighborhoods generally have more pollution, fast-food outlets, and ads promoting tobacco and alcohol use. They often lack safe places to play and exercise. Residents of high-poverty neighborhoods are more likely to live in substandard housing that can expose children to multiple health hazards including lead poisoning and asthma. They often have more crime, which can lead to health-harming stress.

“Differences between neighborhoods often did not develop by chance. In many cases, policy decisions have created barriers to opportunity. This is why systems change—and the Health Equity Initiative’s work here—is so important,” said Dr. Proctor. For example, a map of St. Paul, Minnesota, shows neighborhoods that were deemed worthy of mortgage lending in 1935. Back then, neighborhoods were ranked and color-coded with those deemed more risky outlined in red. This practice of “redlining” created a cycle of inequality, which residents of St. Paul and many other cities still find themselves in today.

Moreover, communities with weaker tax bases can’t support high-quality schools, and jobs are often scarce in neighborhoods with struggling economies. Neighborhoods with unreliable or expensive transit options can isolate residents from good jobs, healthcare, childcare, and social services. And in many ZIP codes, stores and restaurants selling unhealthy food outnumber markets with affordable fresh produce or restaurants with nutritious food.

"More is needed to counter long-standing multi-generational drivers of poor health, poverty and inequality, which is why the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation is deepening its efforts to ensure that everyone in our nation has an equal opportunity to be healthy. It’s what we are calling a Culture of Health, where everyone—no matter who you are, where you live, what your heritage is or what your income is—has the opportunity to live a healthier life," said Dr. Proctor. “A Culture of Health means that getting healthy and staying healthy become fundamental social principles that define American culture.”
RWJF developed a *Culture of Health Action Framework*, which currently guides all of the Foundation’s work and approach to grant making. The framework is based on the following mantras, which Dr. Proctor described in detail in addition to providing relevant examples:

- *Making Health a Shared Value*
- *Fostering Cross-Sector Collaboration to Improve Well-Being*
- *Creating Healthier, More Equitable Communities*
- *Strengthening Integration of Health Services and Systems*

Given the many inequalities in the U.S.—and related root causes, measuring progress toward a Culture of Health needs to go beyond the traditional health measures. Culture is about the deepest thing one can measure. It’s a set of norms and ways of thinking and doing things day-to-day that are especially powerful because people don’t usually think about them! RWJF has recently translated the concept of the Culture of Health into a set of 41 tangible measurements intended to resonate at all levels—from physicians to patients to policymakers.

Ultimately, RWJF wants these metrics to mobilize action:

- Catalyzing dialogue
- Improving outcomes
- And achieving real and meaningful change in America’s health

RWJF hopes others will also reconnect to their own values and principles in promoting health equity. “We envision a future in which everyone in America has the realistic hope and ample opportunity for the healthiest life possible. It’s a bold and audacious dream,” said Dr. Proctor. “Your efforts in this summit to develop an agenda for systems-level change for health equity is a Culture of Health at its best.”

**Key points:**

- There is more to health than health care
- Health equity and socioeconomic factors are intrinsically linked
- Beyond income and education, health is shaped by a host of other factors including behaviors, access to health care and policies that affect health
- While some progress in addressing barriers to health equity has been made, more is needed to counter long-standing multi-generational drivers of poor health, poverty and inequality
- RWJF has been deepening its efforts to address inequalities and to Create a Culture of Health, which means that “getting healthy and staying healthy become fundamental social principles that define American culture”
- RWJF has developed A Culture of Health Action Framework, which is based on four specific action areas as well as a set of 41 tangible progress indicators
**Plenary Session: Socioeconomic Development and Health Equity**

**Health is Wealth - Looking at health as the foundation of individual and community development**

Speaker: Patricia Mae Doykos, PhD, Director, Bristol-Myers Squibb Foundation

Health is the foundation of individual and community development. Not just economic development! For example, poor health limits educational and economic progress at the individual, community, national, and regional levels. At the same time, poverty and low education are major causes of poor health. Therefore, health, education, income and other factors that contribute to adequate standards of living all work together as key enablers of progress in each of these areas and are highly interdependent.

The title of this presentation seeks to highlight a human development/community development approach to addressing issues of equity, which informs the work of the Bristol-Myers Squibb Foundation (BMSF). In fact, the Foundation is dedicated to promoting health equity and improving the health outcomes of populations disproportionately affected by serious conditions, including low-income groups, racial and ethnic minorities, the elderly, children, socially excluded groups, and resource limited communities. Key efforts focus “on strengthening community-based health care worker capacity, integrating medical care and community-based supportive services, and mobilizing communities in the fight against disease”, said Dr. Doykos. Programs are closely linked and use the same strategic platform of leveraging and mobilizing community support and services to improve health outcomes. At the core of the work of the Foundation is its understanding of the almost inextricable “entanglement” of poor health and poverty, which can be defined as “poor health increasing the chance of poverty” just as “poverty increases the chance of poor health.”

These principles are also reflected in the United Nations Human Development Index that list health, education, and living standards as the three key components of human development, and includes four indicators for assessing progress: life expectancy, expected and mean years of schooling, gross national income pro-capita. In support of this approach, several examples highlight the strong interdependence of social factors with health. These include the association between cancer mortality rates and specific races and education levels, the interdependence of diabetes prevalence rate and income and quality of care.

In addressing multiple health issues, BMSF works to integrate health and socioeconomic development programs for vulnerable populations, so that they can help address what has been recognized as the “blind spot” of the Millennium Development Goals.

---

**Key points:**

- In addition to economic development, health is the foundation for individual and community development.
- Health, education, and income are intrinsically related and interdependent.
- We need a human/community development approach to addressing issues of equity.
- In addressing multiple health issues, the Bristol Myer-Squibb Foundation works to integrate health and socio-economic development programs for vulnerable populations.
- Implications of a systems-level change approach to health equity include identifying and addressing structural injustice; embracing equity and inclusion, as well as the interdependence of multiple areas and factors; and measuring for and capturing the impact on human development and quality of life.
The world is rapidly urbanizing. In today's megacities, several issues affect health and well-being: socioeconomic marginalization, overburdened infrastructure, inadequate healthcare are just some of the many barriers to a healthy and productive life.

The number of people who live in inadequate living environments is rapidly increasing. For example, in Dhaka, Bangladesh, 3.4 million people live in slums, 30% lack access to sanitation, and 100,000 die of diarrheal disease annually. In Brazil, another large country, 1.2 million people live in slums, 30% not connected to sewer network, and 3.7 million work-hours a year are lost to gastrointestinal diseases. This is happening because of underfinanced public services, overburdened healthcare systems, poor resource allocation ... and complacency, inaction and lack of innovation in our responses.

Investing in healthy housing is key to saving treatment costs and preventing disease. Some times, this is as simple as replacing a dirt floor. In fact this simple intervention results in a 75% reduction in exposure to parasites causing diarrhea and stomach worms in children, a 90% improvement in cognitive abilities in children, and a 85% reduction in medical costs for families.

This approach is at the core of ARCHIVE Global's work across different country settings. “We know that health inequality is universal,” said Mr. Williams. Even in developed countries, socioeconomic vulnerability, poor access to healthcare, and diseases of poverty continue to be common in places such as Camden, N.J. in the United States or London, U.K.

For example, in Camden, New Jersey, 18% of residents suffer from asthma, 60% are ill-equipped for asthma management and prevention, 52% of children live below the poverty line, and 40% of children with asthma report missed school days due to their symptoms. Because of ARCHIVE Global's work, ten Camden families were equipped with supplies and support for maintaining asthma-friendly homes, and 15 public agencies and local organizations engaged in roundtable discussions. Overall, there were fewer missed school days and emergency room visits due to asthma symptoms.

The current global housing crisis is intrinsically linked to health. New approaches are needed to prioritize improvements in the living conditions as a key strategy in combating diseases around the world.

Key points:
- The world is rapidly urbanizing, which is resulting in a global housing crisis with millions of people living in slums and other inadequate kinds of housing both in developing and developed countries
- Investing in healthy housing is key to saving treatment costs and preventing diseases
- New approaches at the intersection of development, health and architecture are needed to prioritize improvements in the living conditions as a key strategy in combating diseases around the world
So much of health is determined by social and environmental circumstances that, if changed, would move us toward equity. However, to change circumstances, policy-makers, local and federal, must be influenced by the needs of average citizens. Public deliberation is one of the methods for community engagement that has been used for securing input from a given population. Rooted in political philosophy and political science, the process involves allowing participants to weigh multiple, often competing arguments on moral or ethical social issues through the perspective of their own experiences. This method pursues legitimacy, transparency and accountability as the views are then compiled for decision-maker action.

It begins with convening people who may have a stake in the issues. Participants are first educated in a non-biased manner through educational material and/or experts and then engaged in a “deliberation.” Using their personal experiences, participants have a reason-based discussion on all sides of the issues. The dialogue is used to incorporate public perspective in the decision-making process of designing interventions.

Recently, The New York Academy of Medicine used this process for an initiative entitled “Prioritize Health!” which was supported by a grant from the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ). A collaborative effort with the Sophie Davis School of Biomedical Information and Maimonides Medical Center in Brooklyn, New York, the project involved community members in a deliberative process aimed at assisting Maimonides in the selection of health programming for the diverse neighborhoods it serves. The main objective was to implement three public deliberation processes to educate participants and facilitate an informed discussion on evidence-based interventions directed at prevention. Project assessment focused on participant knowledge and attitudes with pre- and post-surveys while also gathering community input on how Maimonides can best contribute to reducing disease in South Brooklyn.

Results showed a dramatic increase in participant knowledge regarding chronic disease and the impact of social determinants of health and that participants preferred community and policy approaches to chronic disease prevention, as compared to clinical approaches. Participants reported feeling energized and that they were able to share their thoughts in an open environment.

Key Points:

- Health policy and practice must be influenced by the needs of average citizens in order to move towards equity.
- Public deliberation is a method used for obtaining informed input from community members with a stake in the issue(s), using perspective from their own experiences.
- The dialogue is used to incorporate public perspective in the decision-making.
- Example: Prioritize Health!
The conventional wisdom is that equity is inefficient. It is thought to be too costly and too difficult to go into poor, hard to reach communities. It is assumed that there is a “trade-off” - that there must be a choice between efficiency and equity. However, UNICEF argues that this trade-off is not necessary. Rather, since the needs are greatest among the unreached, and at the same time, we have new, innovative, efficient strategies and tools to reach the poorest, the benefits of concentrating on them can outweigh the additional cost of reaching them.

In this process it is important to focus on and monitor some of the key determinants of child deprivation, from social norms and policies to financial access and quality of care. An overarching theory of communication for change is the Socio-ecological model. This model factors in the multiple levels of human behavior and is essential to understand and address barriers and drivers of change. Communication can then help overcome these barriers at each level. For example, UNICEF Communication for Development (C4D) employs a mix of communication, media and community engagement strategies to support programmatic efforts to address equity issues.

Public health communication, as a field, has grown over the past three decades. There has been a push to implementing evidence-based interventions by examining what works, and whether it is replicable, scalable and/or cost-effective. In addition, one of the core assumptions in development and health communications is the importance of increasing the citizens’ voice. Doing so will make public institutions more responsive to citizens’ needs and demands, and thus more accountable for their actions.

For example, as part of its Communication for Development work, UNICEF Uganda’s U-Report has been using an innovative mobile-based application to enable Uganda’s young people to offer their views on everything from economic empowerment to immunization. Intended to harness both the high level of connectivity and the proliferation of mobile phones, UNICEF worked to create U-report, a free SMS-based platform through which young Ugandans can speak on what is happening in their communities, and more importantly, use the platform to work together with other community leaders for positive change. Weekly SMS messages and polls are sent out to and from the community of U-reporters, who respond to the polls and exchange views on a wide range of subjects. On their own initiative, U-reporters can also raise awareness of relevant issues, provide feedback on community development, and engage in an ongoing dialogue with authorities and policy makers. U-report has seen great impact as decision makers have begun to listen, take notice and, where possible, act.

Key points:
- UNICEF believes that new, innovative, efficient strategies and tools to reach the poorest /most disadvantaged communities can enable us all to progress towards equity more efficiently
- There has been a push in health and development communication to implement evidence-based interventions, using citizens’ voices to make public institutions respond to their needs. Example: UNICEF Uganda u-Report
- Global efforts to advance equity approaches can greatly benefit from lessons learned and experiences in the health and development communication field
Roundtable Sessions Summaries

The Summit roundtables were facilitated by presenters from multiple sectors and took place over a one-hour period divided in three 20 minutes sessions. There were a total of 8 roundtables, each with a different topic, which were attended by 100+ participants.

SOCIOECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND HEALTH EQUITY

"The Interface of Health Equity and Cultural Competence in the Ongoing New York Medicaid Reform Process (DSRIP)"
Presenter: Pablo Farias, MD, Lecturer, Harvard University School of Public Health

New York State's Delivery System Reform Incentive Payment (DSRIP) Program is an effort to restructure the complex health system serving the low-income populations of the state through the Medicaid program. DSRIP is focused on reducing avoidable hospital use, while also addressing population health and quality of health services. Its implementation is based on geographically organized Performing Provider Systems (PPS). As a systemic transformation process leading to payment redesign for healthcare providers, DSRIP represents a unique opportunity to advance health equity. Its focus on Medicaid recipients in low-income and diverse communities facing significant health disparities, confronts DSRIP with the full set of challenges posed by health equity. An important strategy to address these challenges is the development of cultural competence and health literacy interventions, such as implementation of culturally and linguistically appropriate service (CLAS) standards. Cultural competence seeks to improve the responsiveness and quality of health service provision; to address cultural and communication barriers in access to care; and to build engagement and participation of patients and their communities in addressing their health needs. This roundtable explored the ways in which the cultural competence framework can inform efforts to advance health equity in the health system reform process.

COMMUNITY AND PATIENT ENGAGEMENT IN HEALTH EQUITY

"Fostering Community and Stakeholder Participation on Health Equity Issues: Looking at Experiences from Developing Nations"
Presenter: Renata Schiavo, PhD, MA, HEI Founder and President; and Senior Lecturer, Columbia University Mailman School of Public Health; and Principal, Strategic Communication Resources™

It is commonly found that communities and groups affected by health disparities share similar characteristics, such as a history of low socioeconomics and social discrimination, lack of access to essential services and goods, limited literacy and/or health literacy. Thus, community engagement is a staple strategy to encourage community ownership and sustainability of all interventions. This roundtable discussed examples of community engagement strategies and programs that were implemented in countries like Rwanda, Angola, and Brazil where participatory planning, human-centered design and community and/or stakeholder consensus processes were used to design suitable interventions and address health issues such as malnutrition, malaria, and chronic diseases. By using participatory methodologies that encourage communities to recognize their own voice and actively participate in the design, implementation, and evaluation of all health equity-related interventions, we could tap the unique experience of community members in a way that goes beyond information dissemination. Lessons learned from the international case studies discussed at this roundtable included the importance of the following: keeping an open mind and striving to address group-driven priorities; considering and overcoming potential bias that may exist toward specific groups, communities, and/or professionals sectors; as well as building capacity among communities, patients, and other key stakeholder as a pre-requisite for real empowerment and participation. Finally, setting the right expectations within communities and developing a shared vision of “success” are also important lessons. By including communities not only in the design, but also in the implementation and evaluation of all interventions, the long-term sustainability of and commitment to all efforts to advance health equity are likely to increase.
Elimination of health disparities is a goal outlined in the National Prevention Strategy, and thus something public health agencies at every level should be working to advance. The National Partnership for Action (NPA) aims to increase the effectiveness of all programs that seek to reduce health disparities. Key areas of intervention of the NPA focus on increasing awareness of key health equity issues, and strengthening leadership. To this end each region in the US has Regional Health Equity Council, which may be structured differently to meet the needs of that specific region. The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services developed a NPA Toolkit for Community Action, which is available online, and was also distributed at the Summit.

COMMUNICATING ABOUT HEALTH EQUITY

"Making Health Equity an Issue: Strategies from the Private Sector"
Presenters: Lenore Cooney, HEI Board member, and Principal, LCooney Consulting, and Founder and Former CEO, Cooney Water Group; & Samantha Cranko, HEI Vice President, and Executive Director, NYC Healthcare Lead, Golin

This roundtable discussed the importance of developing multiple messages for different audiences in order to speak to differing priorities and interests. There is very little health equity literacy nationwide, among both providers and patients. Funding is important, but it is difficult to appeal to a funder without fully understanding what they care about. We need to increase the demand for health equity at a grassroots level and communicate that demand effectively. It is imperative to help other sectors understand the intrinsic benefits of health equity to themselves and the greater population. This is possible by bringing together those who push for change with those who can make the change.

"Health Equity: When Words are Barriers instead of Bridges"
Presenter: Isabel Estrada-Portales, PhD., MS, Senior Communications Specialist, NIH Office of Behavioral and Social Sciences

This roundtable discussed the negative effects that media campaigns can sometimes have on public health. In moving towards a shared language for health equity, it is important to ask how we can communicate positive messages to the media. The term “health equity” is often difficult to define, but it is necessary to educate people about its meaning in order to move forward.

Such efforts need to take into account that there are language barriers and education barriers, which at times may prevent people from speaking up or highlighting their issues to others. Advocacy involves the need for different methods and uses of iconography and media to reach different groups of people, and at a variety of reading levels, in order to promote inclusiveness, especially among at-risk groups. Through adequate advocacy efforts we can help give voice to those who may not have one and foster the kind of systemic change that also builds the capacity for communities to recognize their own stories and speak up for their own rights.
HEI PUBLIC POLICY MEMBER COMMITTEE

"Utilizing a community-driven research approach to online/digital screening assessments for mental health among children: A call to action from HEI Public Policy member committee"

Presenters: Friso Van Reesema, MPH, Co-Chair, HEI Public Policy Committee (PPC) and Director Care Management, Emmi Solutions; & Manik Bhat, Co-Chair, HEI Public Policy Committee (PPC) and CEO, Healthy. Doree Damoulakis, MPH who is a member of the PPC, also co-facilitate this workshop.

Mental health is an individual and public health crisis among children in the U.S. One in five adolescents has a mental health condition. Children with mental health issues develop greater long-term negative social and health outcomes. The roundtable discussed the need for better systems for screening children for early mental health symptoms, as well as, designing culturally and financially engaging and social justice-oriented interventions to engage youth, their parents, caregivers, communities and schools to prioritize mental health support and care.

The PPC's objective for the roundtable involved fine-tuning the committee's work, as well as engaging the HEI community in lively conversations, feedback and participation in our support of childhood mental and behavioral health. To this end, the roundtable facilitators and presenters shared a potential action plan to leverage technology and online assessments for behavioral health through the school system.

While caution was expressed by roundtable attendees on the overuse of assessments, many comments and ideas also focused on the use of social media to reach out and engage students in online assessment, as was shown successful in past HIV risk behavior campaigns. Other ideas discussed included aligning any form of assessments with other routine and wellness checks; considering cultural sensitivity and barriers to this kind of assessment, and using a team-based community approach, among others.

In summary, technology may provide a valuable option to conduct longitudinal screenings given the confidentiality, convenience and privacy of online tools, assessments and communications. Still, consideration should be given to additional systems-changing strategies such as the formation of committees for adolescent mental health, which will assess local situations, and help design adequate and community-friendly systems for (1) data collection, use, and dissemination; (2) capacity building and training for different professionals, so they can adequately engage in child mental health issues and (3) overall child mental health programs and services to be implemented at the local level. The roundtable was valuable in providing constructive recommendations and cautions to keep the PPC moving forward with the development of a policy brief for improving mental and behavioral health disparities in children.

ENGAGING YOUNG PEOPLE ON HEALTH EQUITY ISSUES

"Youth Action: How Best to Engage Young Stakeholders in Eliminating Health Disparities"

Presenters: Alka Mansukhani, PhD., HEI Founding Treasurer, and Associate Professor, New York University School of Medicine; & Carmelo Cruz Reyes, MPH, HEI Board Member and Membership Committee Chair, and Senior Contract Manager, Public Health Solutions

This roundtable discussed the importance of training adults for better interactions with youth on health disparities issues. In order for adults to successfully engage youth, they must first earn their trust. Motivational Interviewing Training, for example, is one of the methods that could be used to provide adults with a set of skills to improve communication with youth as opposed to practicing a top-down hierarchical approach to engaging them in the health equity movement. Participants shared a number of other projects from their own experiences.

One main topic of discussion focused on the importance of engaging communities to communicated with elected officials about the importance of a change in the school curriculum, especially at the lower grades, to promote awareness of health equity, its many root causes, and also encourage the selection of healthy life choices among young people. Participants also spoke about the need for developing Community Advisory Groups, which should be made for youth and by youth, so these could provide a forum for young people to speak about their experience with health and health equity.
"Mobilizing the Next Generation of Health Equity Practitioners"
Presenter: Upal Basu Roy, PhD, MS, MPH, HEI Board Member and Secretary, and Director, Science Communication and Programs, LUNGevity. & Lalitha Ramanathapuram, PhD, MPH, Research Scientist and Program Coordinator, Department of Biology, New York University

While the concept of health equity has finally made its entrance in academic and grassroots dialogue, strategies that effectively engage youth in the health equity movement have yet to be clearly defined. Youth are different from adults in that biological effects such as those deriving from inadequate nutrition, and/or other social determinants of health, including income inequality, have unique and long-lasting effects. The purpose of this roundtable was to discuss meaningful and action-oriented strategies for engaging youth in the health equity movement, especially in a way that confers a more “active” role on youth rather than the traditional engagement just as a “passive” listener.

Participants recognized the importance of role models and mentors. Ideally, role models and mentors should be drawn from disadvantaged communities, so they can share their experience and act as the voice for such communities. Moreover, health equity should be addressed in schools and is currently lacking in school curricula. Other ways to engage youth such as social media and youth-friendly activities were also noted as empowerment strategies to help jump-start a youth-driven dialogue on health equity.
Health equity is as much a socioeconomic issue as it is a fundamental human right and a key social justice issue for society today. Advancing health equity means increasing the economic wellbeing of our cities, communities and neighborhoods and enabling people to take advantage of social and economic opportunities. Healthy people are more likely to secure or change jobs, find lifetime partners, be attentive parents, start a business or relocate to a new city or country. (1).

By using a health equity lens to examine critical issues in cities or countries, we are able to identify and address major social and environmental factors that contribute to health disparities: poverty, race, social status, gender norms, built environment, for example. But we should also recognize and promote the oposite: the relationship of health equity to the ability of our communities to thrive and prosper. Doing so can supply another powerful argument in support of health equity issues.

Workshop Summary
There are inherent challenges to gaining multi-sector support for health equity as a key determinant of community and economic development. While there is little question about the social justice argument for health equity, there has been far less public discussion of the material benefit to the community as a form of return on investment in health equity, especially in developed countries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priorities</th>
<th>Strategies</th>
<th>Actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| • Sharply define the relationship between health equity and economic and community development; establish acceptance of the connection as a social norm | • Develop new and different ways to collect data, identify markers, and measure results; publish subsequent studies  
• Develop allies outside the health field, especially among groups advocating on issues of poverty that have not yet included health equity in their analysis  
• Mount a concerted effort to communicate the connection between health equity and community economic welfare across stakeholder segments | • Identify potential champions and allies beyond the public health sphere: business leaders, economists, policy makers, academia, urban planning, etc.  
• Combine the contributions of the grass-roots (community) and social “tree tops” (business leaders, elected officials, etc.) - those most deeply affected who have a wealth of ideas together with those who control material resources  
• Stimulate public debate about the connection between community health and community wealth.  
• Establish publicly disclosed performance indicators on health, such as business leaders reporting on employee health, elected officials reporting on the health of their communities |

1) Health Equity Initiative, 2012 and 2014; Alleyne, 2010
A growing body of evidence points up the vital role of the physical environment and urban planning in advancing the health and well-being of our neighborhoods and communities. (2) Adequate urban planning – which includes safe streets for pedestrians and bicycles, parks and safe play spaces, well-functioning public transit, buildings that encourage physical activity, among other features – contributes to a healthy lifestyle in both urban and rural settings and helps mitigate or prevent serious health conditions. It can also stimulate socioeconomic development and local job creation.

**Workshop Summary**

By the year 2050, an estimated 70 percent of the world’s population will live in urban areas (3), making urban planning increasingly relevant to a broad range of stakeholders and disciplines in the advance of health equity issues. The involvement of many disciplines and sectors – public health, health care delivery, community development, CBOs, for example – will be required for strategies that incorporate the health equity paradigm as well as community perspectives into urban design.

### Priorities

- Enlist a wider range of participants in health equity: professionals outside the design field, professionals in design and health who do not yet value health equity, the general public
- Develop new models for investment in urban design that focus on the needs of the socially at-risk communities
- Create a shared, accessible language for addressing urban design issues in the community

### Strategies

- Enlist community gatekeepers; build working relationships and trust
- Engage a broad audience: young people, professionals in the field and those currently underrepresented in the planning profession, such as minorities and women; expose children to vocational opportunities in design and health equity
- Recognize and incorporate community members’ everyday experience with design process into local planning
- Equip professionals and community members to tackle design issues from a health equity perspective
- Define and implement community engagement for all steps in the design process; create a shared vision of the value of community participation among the different government agencies involved in a project

### Actions

- **Engagement**
  - Go to where the people are, build relationships and employ social networking as a tool
  - Develop an engagement strategy to undergird each process
  - Be transparent about the purpose of engagement: to command, to collaborate or to build consensus?
  - Pilot engagement approaches
  - Recognize that engagement takes time and money
  - Find ways to incorporate community feedback into policy ideas
- **Focus on local context**
  - Local language with local speakers
  - Tailor messages to the specific audience
- **Invest in programing, not just infrastructure**

**Urban Design and Health Equity**

**Facilitated by: Julia D Day, MSc, Project Manager, Gehl Studio, a Gehl Architects Company**

---

Community and Patient Engagement
Facilitated by: Samantha Cranko, HEI Vice President, Executive Director, NYC Healthcare Lead, Golin

Community engagement and mobilization on the design, implementation and evaluation of all interventions has been used successfully by several developing countries as a strategy to address a variety of health and social issues affecting vulnerable and underserved groups (4). Recent examples have illuminated how processes that extend beyond community health workers can encourage community ownership of relevant interventions and specific local solutions to key social problems and barriers. The Ebola crisis of 2014-2015 is a case in point (5), as are earlier Ebola epidemics (6). Moreover, several countries (Rwanda, Cuba) have been employing community health approaches to health to significant advantage (7).

In the United States, community participation has proved effective in reducing childhood obesity disparities in Nebraska (8). It has created valuable connections between community and clinic in improving quality of health care delivery (9) as well as local implementation of the Accountable Care Act. While more and more experiences are coming to light, the fact remains that community engagement for sustainable development is all too often an afterthought in many instances. It is an under-utilized approach to helping advance the movement for health equity, integral as it can be to many different professional fields and strategies. Institutionalizing community and patient engagement within organizational practice and policy can be an important step in the advance of health equity.

Workshop Summary

Fundamental to community engagement is building and maintaining relationships, as well as understanding community members in the context of where and how they actually live. Convening members only at isolated points in the process is not sufficient: they must be part of the entire intervention, from design through evaluation. To arrive at solutions that are truly local requires bringing the project to where the community is, both physically and psychically, and to find ways to empower the community -- and also those organizations that wish to involve patients -- through training and capacity building.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priorities</th>
<th>Strategies</th>
<th>Action Steps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Build and maintain relationships with patients and community</td>
<td>Increase training to empower community members</td>
<td>Develop resource/provide technical assistance for community training and education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure comprehensive long-term participation in every aspect of interventions</td>
<td>- Educate organizations about patients as people for better communications</td>
<td>- Create patient and community panels for integration and maximum participation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Build capacity for engagement in community</td>
<td>- Reduce bias and preconceptions</td>
<td>- Establish cross-sector collaborations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Integrate community members in all projects from inception</td>
<td>- Bring projects to where the community is, both physically and psychically</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Interactive Consensus Workshop**

**Building Common Ground for Health Equity**

**Communicating about Health Equity**

Facilitated by: Glenn Ellis, Founder and President, Strategies for Well-Being

Health Equity remains a complex concept for many people to grasp. Awareness of health disparities and their root causes in the US increased only minimally over a full decade, while disparities have persisted and in some cases even increased among disadvantaged groups. Numerous initiatives around the concept of health equity in the US and internationally have begun to shine light on the issues and draw attention from the public, policy-makers and the groups most deeply affected by health disparities. Still, mobilization of a multi-sector movement has remained unrealized.

Well-designed communications interventions have the power to build bridges, bolster confidence, break down barriers and spur organizing at every level of community, organizational, policy and individual endeavor. (10) Various communications models exist for behavioral, social and organizational change (11) which are grounded in current approaches, theoretical models and experiences and recognize the many factors and groups that contribute to positive health outcomes. Beyond messages and channels, communications is actually an iterative, strategic and people-centered process for behavioral, social and organizational change.

Communications efforts in support of health equity must work to engage stakeholders. Key among them are policy makers and influential groups such as religious and community leaders, health care providers, employers, women’s groups, and teachers, to cite just a few. These groups are critical to mobilizing communities and the general public and encouraging their participation in the decision-making processes for policy and social change, through culturally competent and participatory approaches.

**Workshop Summary**

Communications interventions are fundamental to effecting social and policy change. It is critical, however, to recognize the challenge posed by the breadth of the topics embodied in the concept of health equity and the varying levels of awareness, acceptance and concern among the various stakeholder groups. Finding the most effective communications approaches requires an understanding of each group. It is clear that capacity building and communications training are needed for the adoption of health equity into policy and practice. Below are key priorities, strategies, and action steps highlighted by participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priorities</th>
<th>Strategies</th>
<th>Action Steps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Define “health equity” and why it is important for each of the major stakeholder groups</td>
<td>• Make “emotional intelligence” central to the communications process, tailoring approaches and messages to the needs of key stakeholders</td>
<td>• Research the current level of awareness and understanding of health equity among stakeholder groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Effect social and policy change through communications interventions by sector</td>
<td>• Recognize and address the need for communications capacity and understand the resources available for the design and implementation of interventions</td>
<td>• Work collaboratively with stakeholder groups in developing communications approaches and messages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Select the communications channels most appropriate to the needs and concerns of stakeholder groups.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summit Poster Session

The Poster Session took place on the morning of the second day of the conference, Friday, February 26, 2016, from 8:30am – 9:45am.

The peer-reviewed session gave professionals and students from numerous sectors and disciplines an opportunity to highlight and discuss results of social impact interventions on systems-level change for health equity and/or specific social determinants of health.

Common Themes and Strategies

Each poster underscored at least one major barrier to eliminating health disparities among vulnerable and disenfranchised populations in both developed and developing countries. All posters pointed up the need to tackle health inequity through socially impactful multi-sectoral systems-level changes across the four themes of the Summit: communicating about health equity, community and patient engagement, socioeconomic development and urban planning. Among the project featured were the assessment of a USAID program that aims to transcend barriers to optimum maternal and child health exacerbated by underdevelopment; achievements of New York City in fostering a public/private partnership in a bike sharing program; the importance of understanding and incorporating data to establish social determinants in developing strategies to reduce black infant mortality in the United States. A common theme of all the posters was the need to involve members of communities most affected by health inequity in the process of advocating for, establishing, and implementing initiatives that will lead to healthier populations.

Strategies. Several strategies for advancing, promoting, and implementing and evaluating social impact initiatives for health equity were on display. They included the following:

- Working towards establishing and fostering opportunities for health policy leaders who can uniquely identify with the experiences of populations most adversely affected by health inequity.
- Exploring public-private partnerships that effectively result in better health outcomes for vulnerable, disenfranchised populations.
- Using data-informed and community-driven approaches to understanding poor health outcomes among vulnerable populations and identifying the underlying causes of these poor health outcomes as they relate to social determinants of health (12).
- Encouraging multisectoral collaborations and partnerships in which communities mobilize for action and pool resources together to advance health equity. Community is defined here to include not only community residents but all types of business, institutions, and stakeholders in health equity issues, who live or operate in a geographical area, or belong to specific lay or professional communities (13).
- The importance of a community-specific definition of health equity that takes into account the unique priorities and social determinants of health that may relevant to a specific community and therefore inform action for health equity impact.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Organization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Nurtured Emergence: A Ground Up Approach to Dissolving Silos in Health Systems</td>
<td>Communicating about health for policy and social change and/or awareness building</td>
<td>University of Texas Medical Branch, Center to Eliminate Health Disparities Authors: Kenneth D. Smith, Hani Serag, Shannon Guillot-Wright, Wei-Chen Lee, Christen Walcher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Diversifying the Health Policy Profession</td>
<td>Communicating about health for policy and social change and/or awareness building</td>
<td>RWJF Center for Health Policy at Meharry Medical College Author: Mariah Cole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 The National Birth Equity Collaborative: Systems-Level Change for Equity in Black Birth</td>
<td>Communicating about health for policy and social change and/or awareness building</td>
<td>National Birth Equity Collaborative Author: Joia Creat-Perry, Shani Hunter, Carmen Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Community Health Workers and Their Strong Role in Reducing Health Disparities in Maternal and Newborn Health in Ecuador and Honduras</td>
<td>Community and patient engagement and health equity</td>
<td>Maternal and Child Survival Program, ICFI Authors: Tanvi Monga, Jennifer Winestock Luna</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Adopting a Trauma-Informed Care Approach for a Primary Care Safety Net Population.</td>
<td>Community and patient engagement and health equity</td>
<td>National Council for Behavioral Health Authors: Micaela Mercado, Cheryl Sharp, Laura Valez, Priya Gopalan, Patricia Batista, Alex Gensemer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 RMNCH Rapid Health Systems Assessment: Recommendations for increasing quality service provision to underserved populations</td>
<td>Socioeconomic development and health equity</td>
<td>Results for Development (R4D) Institute Authors: Meredith Kimball</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Data-Informed and Community-Driven: An Approach to Address Birth Outcome Inequities in 16 Urban Communities Across the U.S.</td>
<td>Socioeconomic development and health equity</td>
<td>CityMatCH Authors: Monica Beltrán, Denise Pecha, Chad Abresch, Rebecca Ramsey, Kara Gehring, Carol Gilbert, Allis Miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 New York City Department of Transportation: Activating NYC Streets as Public Space</td>
<td>Urban planning and health equity</td>
<td>The New York City Department of Transportation Author: Burns Forsythe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Castle Gardens Project</td>
<td>Urban planning and health equity</td>
<td>The Fortune Society Author: Stanley Richards, Mark Ginsberg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Making the case for a multisectoral and multidisciplinary membership organization dedicated to health equity: Results from a 2013 online survey and follow up participatory planning</td>
<td>Multisectoral Collaborations and Partnerships</td>
<td>Health Equity Initiative Authors: Renata Schiavo, Alka Mansukhani, Samantha Cranko, Gustavo Cruz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Raising the Influence of Community Voices on Health Equity: The Health Equity Exchange Experience</td>
<td>Community Engagement/Communicating About Health Equity</td>
<td>Health Equity Initiative Authors: Renata Schiavo, Ohemaa Boahemaa, Brandy Watts, Elena Hoeppner, and Divya Padgaonkar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Building community-campus partnerships to prevent infant mortality: Lessons learned from building capacity in four US cities</td>
<td>Community Engagement/Multisectoral Collaborations</td>
<td>Health Equity Initiative Authors: Renata Schiavo, Isabel Estrada-Portales, Elena Hoeppner, Denisse Ormaza, Radhika Ramesh</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusions and Recommendations

The health equity movement is making progress but for the most part still remains in the realm of a few health-related and academic sectors. Because of its significant impact on current and future generations, health equity is a key social justice issue that demands the sustained engagement and investment of multiple stakeholders at different levels of society, so that together we can work toward eliminating health disparities.

Expanding upon its core action areas and dedication to multisectoral solutions, Health Equity Initiative, a non profit membership and member-driven organization, organized this inaugural Summit to offer professionals, community leaders, and students across sectors and disciplines a forum to discuss the systemic barriers that contribute to health inequity and to exchange ideas focused on building and sustaining a global community that works to advance health equity. The Summit attracted more than 100 professionals and community leaders from the fields of public health, healthcare, architecture, urban design, transportation, parks and recreation, information technology, and community and international development, among others. The high level of diversity and participation further validated Health Equity Initiative’s commitment and unique dedication to bridging silos and building ONE community for health equity across our professions, cities, and neighborhoods.

The timing of this Summit is highly opportune given that “health equity” is rapidly becoming “the next big thing” in a variety of media and organizations. Yet, as emphasized in discussions throughout Implementing Systems-Level Change for Health Equity: A Partnership Summit, our collective understanding of the roles of different professions, sectors, and professional and lay communities in advancing health equity is still in its nascent stage in many U.S. and international settings. This is largely due to the intrinsic complexity of the concept of health equity itself along with several other factors cited throughout this report.

Such understanding, however, is key to the success of all types of multisectoral interventions and partnerships that aim at social and behavioral change, and ultimately to achieving health equity.

In an important step toward the participatory and consultative process needed to build community around health equity issues, the Summit provided many opportunities to share ideas, resources, and success stories as well as to identify key strategies, priorities and actions steps toward health equity. As outlined earlier, the Summit explored four main themes of great importance to health equity: a) the role of urban design; b) the importance of community and patient engagement; c) the link between health equity and socioeconomic development; and d) the art and science of communicating about health equity to engage groups and stakeholders in the health equity movement. The concepts and recommendations that emerged from each session or interactive consensus workshop are summarized within relevant sections of this report.

The Summit also contributed to a shared understanding of overall topics, strategies, and action areas that are critical to building a culture of health, progressing toward systems-level change for health equity. These are reflected within the conclusions and recommendations listed below.

1) Health equity remains a very complex concept for many people; therefore, it must be defined and made relevant across different professions, communities, and sectors. This may include focusing on shared values and benefits; speaking of the barriers vulnerable and underserved populations face in various contexts; and simplifying the language we use in communicating about health equity issues. Most important, however, is the point that everyone has a stake in health issues, regardless of their current beliefs or professional fields. We must learn about the language of multiple sectors, so we can effectively communicate across different communities and organizational cultures and effect social and policy change through communication interventions. Ultimately, our goal should be to enable each community and sector to arrive at its own definitions of health equity as well as their own priorities in achieving it.
2) Recognizing and embracing the strong interdependence of long-standing drivers and outcomes of poor health, poverty, and inequality, is an essential step toward addressing health equity as well as other social justice issues. For this, we need to sharply define the relationship between health equity and economic, community, and individual development, as well as to identify and highlight common root causes, so that the role of different stakeholders in health equity issues can be further clarified and may lead to broader multisectoral engagement both in the U.S. and globally. While there is little question about the social justice argument for health equity, this additional and somewhat less explored approach may provide another powerful line of reasoning in support of advancing health equity.

3) Community and patient engagement is key to advancing health equity and should have a broader scope other than just information dissemination in order to improve ownership and sustainability of all interventions. Fundamental to community engagement is building and maintaining relationships as well as understanding community members in the context of where and how they actually live. Convening community members only at select times is not sufficient to create change. Communities need to be involved in the design, implementation and evaluation of health equity-related interventions. Of equal importance is building capacity for engagement within community and patient groups as well as setting the right expectations to develop a shared vision for "success." These priorities also coincide with lessons learned from community and patient engagement experiences in economically developing nations, which may be relevant within vulnerable and underserved population settings across different countries and cultures. The Summit highlighted several models and experiences to advance this agenda, and eventually heighten the influence of community voices on health equity.

4) The importance of the physical environment and urban design to advancing health equity calls for enlisting a wider range of participants outside the design field as well as community perspectives in urban planning. This may entail the development of new models for investment in urban design that focus on the needs of the socially at-risk communities, as well as a shared and accessible language for addressing urban design issues within communities. Ultimately, new approaches at the intersection of health, urban design, architecture and development are needed to improve the living conditions of at risk-populations.

5) Capacity building and training should be a major focus and pre-requisite for action both within health and non-health sectors. Key topics to be considered for this kind of effort include:

- Communication capacity and training to promote the adoption of health equity into policy and practice
- Cross-sector collaborations and partnership development and management as principal strategies to broaden participation in the health equity movement and build a culture of collaboration within different communities and organizations
- Strategies for community and patient engagement and participatory planning to improve sustainability of all interventions by raising the influence of community members on health equity issues
- Resources and tools to enable participation in urban design by community members and professionals outside of design fields
- Monitoring and disseminating lessons learned and progress, specific to different groups and stakeholders, and promoting adoption of best practices in support of health equity
The importance of health equity-related efforts in fields outside health related professions emerged as a pivotal topic in numerous presentations and examples. The multitude of inequalities in the U.S. and globally mandates a new set of norms and innovative thinking – centered on people - that can only be generated by the input of different stakeholders.

The chief points arising from the work of the Summit appear to validate the importance of a new concept of “community”, one that is composed not only of community residents but also all the stakeholders who live, work, and operate in a given geographical area. (14) This includes all kinds of businesses, institutions, municipal services, policy makers, government services – all of which have reasons to care about the health and prosperity of that specific neighborhood, city, or locality (14). For this, we need to build capacity and willingness for understanding health equity as of benefit to all. For this, we need to reconnect to the values that motivate our work and lives as well as our vision for the kind of world we want to leave to our children and grandchildren. We need to create appropriate forums to discuss perspectives on difficult issues such as poverty, race, and social discrimination, and how these impinge on health, social, and organizational outcomes. Finally, we need to remember that the concept of “equal opportunity” is what has inspired or shaped many political agendas, civil and human rights movements, founding legislations, and international declarations across issues and centuries (15).

The time to implement systems-level change for health equity is now. Through investments in integrated multisectoral interventions and capacity building and training, as well as endorsements by stakeholders and leaders across sectors and disciplines, increased community participation and engagement, bold communication strategies for behavioral and social change, innovative thinking, and supportive public policies, we can remove barriers to health equity. By broadening our overall commitment to community-driven cross-sectoral solutions, we can ensure that vulnerable and underserved populations both in the United States and internationally have the opportunity to stay healthy, effectively cope with disease and crisis, and ultimately thrive by reaching their own health and socioeconomic goals.

15) Van Til, 1978; Roosevelt, 1999; Ng and Reshaw, 2008; Paes de Barros et.al; 2009; Cousins, 2014; United Nations, 2008, 2015
Summit-at-a-Glance

110+ participants over 1 and ½ days

4 Types of Sessions

Plenary presentations  8 Roundtables  4 Interactive Consensus Workshops  12 Posters

Partial list of organizations represented at the summit:

- A Step Ahead Foundation
- Alberta Health Services
- ARCHIVE Global
- Bristol Myers Squibb Foundation
- Bronx-Lebanon Hospital Center
- Brooklyn Health Disparities Center
- Carrabuse Health Alliance
- CityMatCH
- Claremont Healthy Village
- Community Coalition
- Department of Health and Human Services Region 2
- Diaspora Community Services
- Emmi Solutions
- Facebook
- Food and Drug Administration
- Gehl Architect Company
- Golin
- Harvard School of Public Health
- Healthify
- Hofstra University
- LOY/Center for Healthy Living, Inc.
- Maternal and Child Survival Program/ICFI
- MEDICC
- National Council of La Raza
- National Institute of Health
- National Birth Equity Coalition
- New York University
- NYC Department of Transportation
- NYU School of Medicine
- Peer Health Exchange
- Public Health Solutions
- Robert Fulton Terrace Tenants Council
- Robert Wood Johnson Foundation
- Rutgers University institute for Health
- RWJF Center for Health Policy at Meharry Medical College
- Strategies for Well-Being
- SUNY Downstate Center
- UNICEF
- UTMB Center to Eliminate Health Disparities
### Summit Program-at-a-Glance

**Thursday, February 25, 2016**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8:00am-9:00am</td>
<td>Registration and Breakfast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:00am-9:15am</td>
<td>Welcome and Introduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:15am-10:00am</td>
<td>Keynote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00am-10:20am</td>
<td>Socioeconomic development and health equity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:20am-10:40am</td>
<td>Urban design and health equity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:40am-11:00am</td>
<td>Coffee Break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00am-11:20pm</td>
<td>Community and patient engagement and health equity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:20am-11:40pm</td>
<td>Communicating about health equity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00pm-1:00pm</td>
<td>Lunch Break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00pm - 2:00pm</td>
<td>Roundtable Discussions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:00pm - 5:00pm</td>
<td>Summit Interactive Consensus Workshops: <em>Building Common Ground for Health Equity</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Friday, February 26, 2016**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8:30am - 9:45am</td>
<td>Poster Session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00am - 11:30am</td>
<td>Presentation of Consensus Workshop Results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00am - 10:20am</td>
<td>Communicating about health equity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:20am - 10:40am</td>
<td>Community and patient engagement and health equity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:40am - 10:50am</td>
<td>Coffee Break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:50am - 11:10am</td>
<td>Socioeconomic development and health equity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:10am - 11:30am</td>
<td>Urban design and health equity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:30am - 11:45am</td>
<td>Conclusions and next steps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:45am - 12:30pm</td>
<td>Discussion and Networking tables</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the full Summit Program, please visit: [http://tinyurl.com/gpxbjp3](http://tinyurl.com/gpxbjp3)
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<td>Amanda Amodio</td>
<td>Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center</td>
<td>Stephanie Anderson</td>
<td>Consultant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meghan Bartels</td>
<td>National Association of Science Writers</td>
<td>Upal Basu Roy</td>
<td>LUNGevity/HEI*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alycia Bayne</td>
<td>NORC at the University of Chicago</td>
<td>Rabbi Reuven Becker</td>
<td>LOY/Center for Healthy Living, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monica Beltran</td>
<td>CityMatCH</td>
<td>Manik Bhatt</td>
<td>Healthify</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natalie Charles</td>
<td>Diaspora Community Services</td>
<td>Roberto Claudio</td>
<td>Bronx-Lebanon Hospital Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mariah Cole</td>
<td>RWJF Center for Health Policy at Meharry Medical College</td>
<td>Lenore Cooney</td>
<td>L Cooney Consulting/HEI*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samantha Cranko</td>
<td>Golin/HEI*</td>
<td>Crystal Crawford</td>
<td>Cal Wellness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avantika Daing</td>
<td>Zomato</td>
<td>Doree Damoulakis</td>
<td>Columbia University Mailman School of Public Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julia Day</td>
<td>Gehl Studio</td>
<td>Daniel Dei</td>
<td>Bronx-Lebanon Hospital Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patricia Doykos</td>
<td>Bristol Myers Squibb Foundation</td>
<td>Glenn Ellis</td>
<td>Strategies for Well-Being</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mattias Ernst</td>
<td>ARCHIVE Global</td>
<td>Isabel Estrada-Portales</td>
<td>National Institute of Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pablo Farias</td>
<td>Harvard University School of Public Health</td>
<td>Burns Forsythe</td>
<td>New York City Department of Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sara Gardner</td>
<td>Fund for Public Health New York</td>
<td>Joe Gattuso</td>
<td>Sudler &amp; Hennessy/IntraMed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audrianna Atencio</td>
<td>National Council of La Raza</td>
<td>Lisa Bates</td>
<td>Center for the Study of Social Inequalities and Health, Columbia University Mailman School of Public Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenly Belt</td>
<td>Association of Community Cancer Centers</td>
<td>Sarah Cocuzzo</td>
<td>Peer Health Exchange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elizabeth Cortes</td>
<td>Bronx-Lebanon Hospital Center</td>
<td>Joia Crear Perry</td>
<td>National Birth Equity Collaborative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maleka Embry</td>
<td>ARCHIVE Global</td>
<td>Michelle Davis</td>
<td>Department of Health and Human Services – Region 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tekisha Everette</td>
<td>Health Equity Solutions</td>
<td>Lisa Foster</td>
<td>Facebook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marthe Gold</td>
<td>New York Academy of Medicine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Organization/Title</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aviva Goldstein</td>
<td>Fund for Public Health New York</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruben Gutierrez</td>
<td>Sudler &amp; Hennessy/IntraMed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan Hewett</td>
<td>Facebook</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisa Ighomuage</td>
<td>Bronx-Lebanon Hospital Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riksum Kazi</td>
<td>ARCHIVE Global</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karren Lane</td>
<td>Community Coalition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katrina Mateo</td>
<td>NYU School of Medicine / CUNY School of Public Health</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Briana McIntosh</td>
<td>CWRU Prevention Research Center for Healthy Neighborhoods</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kellie Mitchell</td>
<td>A Step Ahead Foundation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smiti Nadkarni</td>
<td>Center for the Study of Asian-American Health/NYU Prevention Research Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chidinma Okoli</td>
<td>University of Exeter, England</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Pilkington</td>
<td>Claremont Healthy Village</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lalitha Ramanathanapuram</td>
<td>New York University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aarthi Rangarajan Ranal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carmelo Cruz Reyes</td>
<td>Public Health Solutions/HEI*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashley Gomez</td>
<td>Columbia University Mailman School of Public Health</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Hatzenbuehler</td>
<td>Center for the Study for Social Inequalities and Health, Columbia University Mailman School of Public Health</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbara Holmes</td>
<td>Bronx-Lebanon Hospital Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James James</td>
<td>Claremont Health Village</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linda Kemp</td>
<td>Robert Fulton Terrace Tenants Council</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alka Mansukhani</td>
<td>New York University School of Medicine/HEI*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakia Maxwell</td>
<td>Brooklyn Health Disparities Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micaela Mercado</td>
<td>New York University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanvi Monga</td>
<td>Maternal and Child Survival Program/ICFI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim Nichols</td>
<td>African Services Committee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chidinma Okoli</td>
<td>University of Exeter, England</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denise Pecha</td>
<td>CityMatCH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rafael Obregon</td>
<td>UNICEF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maria Murphy</td>
<td>Bronx-Lebanon Hospital Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamara Michel</td>
<td>Queens Library</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rachael Peters</td>
<td>Peer Health Exchange</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwayne Proctor</td>
<td>Robert Wood Johnson Foundation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carmen Ramos</td>
<td>Bronx-Lebanon Hospital Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carmen Green</td>
<td>National Birth Equity Collaborative</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debra Heiser</td>
<td>City of St. Louis Park</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erika Hood</td>
<td>CWRU Prevention Research Center for Healthy Neighborhoods</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muhammad Javed</td>
<td>London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrick Masseo</td>
<td>Bronx-Lebanon Hospital Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trevor Mayers</td>
<td>Community Catalyst</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Murphy</td>
<td>Bronx-Lebanon Hospital Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anna Michl</td>
<td>Queens Library</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rafael Obregon</td>
<td>UNICEF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chidinma Okoli</td>
<td>University of Exeter, England</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denise Pecha</td>
<td>CityMatCH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rachael Peters</td>
<td>Peer Health Exchange</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwayne Proctor</td>
<td>Robert Wood Johnson Foundation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carmen Ramos</td>
<td>Bronx-Lebanon Hospital Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindsey Realmuto</td>
<td>New York Academy of Medicine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danielle Roach</td>
<td>University of Illinois at Chicago</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Katie Rubinger
Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health

Sharonjit Sagoo
U S Food and Drug Administration

Anthony Santella
Hofstra University

Renata Schiavo
Strategic Communication Resources/ Columbia University Mailman School of Public Health/ Journal of Communication in Healthcare, HEI*

Elena Schwolsky-Fitch
MEDICC

Hani Serag
University of Texas Medical Branch

Dominique Sharpton
Education for a Better America

Madeleine Shea
CMS, Office of Minority Health

Hina Sheikh
Community Coalition

Kenneth Smith
UTMB Center to Eliminate Health Disparities

Laura Smith
City of St. Louis Park

Pamela D. Straker
Brooklyn Health Disparities Center, SUNY

Sara Stroman
S2 Stationary and Design

Patricia Synnott
Institute for Clinical and Economic Review

Reginald Tucker-Seeley
Harvard School of Public Health

Jeanette Valentine
Rutgers University Institute of Health

Tony Valentine
Bronx-Lebanon Hospital Center

Friso Van Reesema
Emmi Solutions

Sandra Vassos
Association of Community Cancer Centers

Marline Vignier
Department of Health and Human Service, Region 2

Vinita Vongviwat
Columbia University Mailman School of Public Health

Sean Walther
City of St. Louis Park

Lisa Weiss
Independent Communications Consultant

Margaret Weright
Bronx-Lebanon Hospital Center

Jason West
City of St. Louis Park

Alfred Williams
Bronx-Lebanon Hospital Center

Peter Williams
ARCHIVE Global

Stacy Yeh
Alberta Health Services

Lynna Zhongm
Center for the Study of Asian-American Health/NYU Prevention Research Center

* Indicate officers/members of the board of directors of Health Equity Initiative